

# Active Learning-Assisted Optimization of Magnetic Nanostructures

H. Oezeltª, H. Moustafaª, A. Kovacsª, L. Brethª, M. Gusenbauerª, J. Fischbacherª, D. Böhmª, Q. Ali<sup>a,b</sup>, S. Schaffer<sup>c</sup>, L. Exl<sup>c,d</sup>, and T. Schrefl<sup>a,b</sup>

### **ABSTRACT**

Micro- and nanostructural design of magnetic materials is the most promising strategy for future highperformance but rare earthlean permanent magnets. Exchange coupled composites combine materials with high magnetocrystalline anisotropy and others with high spontaneous magnetization. It is still unclear, which nanostructural spatial distribution of these materials is advantageous for a high energy density.

We use a Convolutional Neural Network, trained by micromagnetic simulations, to predict the energy density from a given distribution. The neural network serves as a surrogate model for optimizing the spatial distribution and is retrained in an active learning cycle.









A cube with an edge length of 120 nm is divided into  $16 \times 16 \times 16$  cuboid cells of 7.5 nm, each of which can take the properties of either hard or so magnetic material. After further discretization into a finiteelement mesh, a massively parallelized micromagnetic  $code^{[1]}$  is used to compute the demagnetization curves of arbitrary phase distributions. The energy density **1 Micromagnetic simulations**<br>A cube with an edge length of 120 nm is divident  $16 \times 16 \times 16$  cuboid cells of 7.5 nm, each of which<br>take the properties of either hard or soft mainterial. After further discretization into

 $^{120}$  nm

 $2<sup>4</sup>$ 

distribution information stored in 16 ⨉16 ⨉16 matrix

... ... ...



Nd<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>14</sub>B (Hard magnetic):  $K_{\rm u} = 0$  MJ/m<sup>3</sup>

 $\mu_0 M_s = 1.61$  T  $A_x = 7.7$  pJ/m  $K_{\rm u} = 4.3 \text{ MJ/m}^3$ 

### Finite element mesh  $2^{4096}$  possible designs 3 Optimization

A neural network was designed $[3]$  to predict the energy density product  $BH_{\text{max}}$  of the magnet by a given phase distribution. The model was trained using both random phase distributions and speci �c distributions assumed to perform well. After tuning of hyperparameters, an  $R^2$ -score of 95% was achieved for the unseen test set.



The Convolutional Neural Network is used as a surrogate model in an optimization loop to accelerate the search for the best spatial distribution of hard and soft magnetic phases. An adapted binary search algorithm $^{[2]}$  is applied, with the maximization of  $BH_{\rm max}$  as the objective function. The algorithm takes advantage of the gradients provided by the CNN to determine which cells should change material in order to enhance  $BH_{\text{max}}$ . Additionally, the CNN is used for a rapid evaluation of  $BH_{\text{max}}$  during optimization.



### **4 Results**

The colored dots represent the validated designs proposed by the active learning scheme at di fferent iterations. The best design is marked as  $b_{\rm o}$ .



## **5** Conclusion

- Key magnetic properties can be predicted from the magnetic phase distribution by a CNN.
- Fast predictions and an active learning scheme can be used in an optimization framework to find beneficial phase distributions.
- $\blacksquare$  The active learning optimization scheme found superior phase distributions beyond kown ones, including the checkerboard pattern (see co in Results).

- [1] L. Exl et al., Preconditioned nonlinear conjugate gradient method for micro magnetic energy minimization, Comput Phys Commun, vol. 235, 179-186,  $(2019).$
- [2] Y. Okamoto and N. Takahashi, A Novel Topology Optimization of Nonlinear Magnetic Circuit Using ON/OFF Method, IEEJ Trans Fundam Mater, vol. 125, no. 6, 549-553, (2005).
- [3] F. Chollet et al., Keras, Online: https://keras.io

[4] R. Skomski and J. M. D. Coey, Giant energy product in nanostructured twophase magnets, Phys. Rev. B, 48, 15812-15816, (1993).

University for Continuing Education Krems Department for Integrated Sensor Systems - University for Continuing Education Krems Department for Integrated Sensor Systems

University for Continuing Education Krems, 2700 Wiener Neustadt, A #ICM2024\_3.12\_2461

Department of the Integrated Sensors System Struck Sensors Sensor Sensor Sensor Sensor Sensor Sensor Senator S

<sup>a</sup> Department for Integrated Sensor Systems, b Christian Doppler Laboratory for Magnet design b MMM - Mathematics-Magnetism-Materials, through physics informed machine learning 2700 Wiener Neustadt, Austria

**France Branch**<br>Form at University of 1090 Wien, Austria

**Wolfgang Pauli Institute c/o Faculty of** Mathematics, University of Vienna, 1090 Wien, Austria